(3)

Proof

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 234/03 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Rajkumar Katariya, son of Shri Dhanjua Katariya, aged about 31 years, presently posted as Peon,C.G.Council of Science and Technology,Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla University Campus,Raipur,District-Raipur,(C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. C.G.Council of Science and Technology,through its Director General,Pandit Ravi Shankar Shukla University Campus,Raipur,(C.G.)

P.R. No. 2115/03
Presented by Shri S.P.Mudgal
dated 29.09.03

2. M.P.Council of Science and Technology,through its Director General, Kisan Bhawan,26,Arera Hills, Mother Teressa Road, Bhopal,(M.P.)

RECEIVED COPY.
29/9/03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

3. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary,Department of Science and Technology,D.K.S.Building, Mantralay,Raipur,(C.G.)

शपथ आयुक्त
एम.के शुक्ला
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट
29/9/03

: 4. State of Madhya Pradesh, through Seeretary,Department of Science and Technology,Vallabh Bhawan, Mantralay,Bhopal,(M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 2314 of 2003

Petitioner — Rajkumar Katariya

Versus

Respondents — C. G. Council & others

Shri Rajendra Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Amit Verma, Advocate for Respondent No.1 and 2.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for respondent No. 3.

None for the Respondent No. 4.

ORAL ORDER

(16th February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who was working as Peon in the Chhattisgarh Council of Science and Technology, Raipur, is a permanent resident of District- Bhopal in the State of Madhya Pradesh. He has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and he wants his reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh in the Madhya Pradesh Council of Science and Technology, Bhopal. Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instruction, submits that the petitioner be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of his grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur